

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

2811

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/504.660	02/14/00	HOKE	11	07206-042001

DALY, CROWLEY & MOFFORD, LLP SUITE 101 275 TURNPIKE STREET CANTON MA 02021-2310

EXAMINER						
NADAV.O						
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER					

DATE MAILED: 02/21/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/504,660 Applicant(s)

Hoke

Examiner

**ORI NADAV** 

Group Art Unit 2811



Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Dec 27, 2000</u>						
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .						
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expis longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).	spond within the period for response will cause the					
Disposition of Claims						
	is/are pending in the application.					
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.					
Claim(s)	is/are rejected.					
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.					
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.						
The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.						
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved disapproved.					
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	•					
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).						
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been						
received.	)					
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) ☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
*Certified copies not received:						
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority un	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Attachment(s)						
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892						
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).						
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948						
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152						
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE F	FOLLOWING PAGES					

Office Action Summary

Serial Number: 09/504,660 Page 2

Art Unit: 2811

## Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-8 and 16-34 drawn to a semiconductor device, classified in class 257, subclass 192.
  - II. Claims 9-15 drawn to a process of making a semiconductor device, classified in class 438, subclass 22+.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case unpatentability of Group II invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the process of the group II invention, since the device of group I invention could be made by processes different from those of group II invention. For example, instead of forming a contact layer and etching it by a first etchant, forming two, spaced apart, contact layers.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Serial Number: 09/504,660 Page 3

Art Unit: 2811

4. If applicant elects claims 1-8 and 16-34 directed to semiconductor device, these claims are further restricted as follows:

This application contains claims 1-8 and 16-34 directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- 1. Embodiment of figure 1
- 2. Embodiment of figure 5

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally-held-to be allowable.—Currently, none is generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Serial Number: 09/504,660

Art Unit: 2811

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Page 4

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology center (TC) 2800 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to TC 2800 via the TC

Serial Number: 09/504,660

Art Unit: 2811

2800 Fax center located in Crystal Plaza 4, room 4-C23. The faxing of such

papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG

30 (November 15, 1989). The Group 2811 Fax Center number is (703) 308-7722

and 308-7724. The Group 2811 Fax Center is to be used only for papers related to

Group 2811 applications.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or any earlier communication from the

Examiner should be directed to Examiner Nadav whose telephone number is (703)

308-8138. The Examiner is in the Office generally between the hours of 7 AM to 3 PM

(Eastern Standard Time) Monday through Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by

telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas, can be reached

at (703) 308-2772.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the **Technology Center Receptionists** whose telephone number is **308**-

0956

Ori Nadav, Ph.D.

February 8, 2001

William Mintel

William Mintel
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2811

Page 5